

Letter to Editor:

β -lactamase Production in Uropathogens

Gopal N Agrawal¹, Smita U Shevade²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Microbiology, Indira Gandhi Government Medical College, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

²Resident, Department of Microbiology, Indira Gandhi Government Medical College, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding author: Dr. Gopal N Agrawal; E-mail: gnagrawal_1@yahoo.co.in

Dear Editor,

β -lactamase production is the most common mechanism of β -lactam drug resistance in gram-negative bacteria.¹ Enterobacteriaceae producing both extended spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs) and AmpC β -lactamases have been increasingly reported worldwide.² Until recently, carbapenems were the choice for the therapeutic management of multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacterial infections. Currently, the resistance to carbapenems in enterobacteriaceae is increasingly recognized.³ For this reason, aggressive surveillance of β -lactamase producers will be extremely important. Here we present a study of β -lactamase production amongst gram negative bacterial uropathogens.

A prospective study was conducted at the Department of Microbiology, Indira Gandhi Government Medical College and Hospital, Nagpur, Maharashtra from July 2010 to November 2012. Amongst 1948 urine samples from community acquired (CA) and nosocomial urinary tract infection (UTI) processed, significant gram negative bacterial uropathogens include 320 enterobacteriaceae isolates (243 *E. coli*, 57 klebsiella, six citrobacter, 13 enterobacter and one *Proteus mirabilis*), 24 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, 45

acinetobacter and one isolate each of myroides spp, *Alcaligenes faecalis* and *brevundimonas* spp. ESBL and AmpC production was tested in all the enterobacteriaceae isolates. Carba-penamase production in enterobacteriaceae and non-fermentative gram negative isolates was tested.⁴ The statistical analysis was performed by using chi-square test.

Amongst 392 isolates of enterobacteriaceae and gram negative non-fermentative bacilli, carbapenamase production was detected in 21 (5.4%) isolates. Amongst 320 enterobacteriaceae isolates, ESBL and AmpC production was detected in 29 (9.1%) and 10 (3.1%) isolates respectively.

There was no coproduction of β -lactamase (Table 1). As high as 15.8% β -lactamase production was seen in klebsiella.

Introduction of carbapenamase production in enterobacteriaceae is a matter of great concern. Luckily they are mainly found in nosocomial strains and CA strains are spared till date.

The widespread use of antimicrobials in community either due to self-medication or incorrect prescription is the possible factor fuelling the emergence of resistant strains. Therefore, careful monitoring of drug resistance and β -lactamase especially carbapenamase is

necessary. It is a high time for microbiology laboratories to introduce β -lactamase testing routinely

for the knowledge of their prevalence and for the measures to be taken to control their spread.

Table 1. β -lactamase production amongst uropathogens (n = 392)

Uropathogens (n1/n2)	ESBL producer (%)		AmpC producer (%)		Carbapenemase producer (%)		Total β -lactamase producer (%)		Sum total (%)
	CA	Noso.	CA	Noso.	CA	Noso.	CA	Noso.	
<i>E. coli</i> (173/70)	0	23 (32.9)	0	7 (10)	0	3 (4.3)	0	33 (47.1)	33 (13.6)
<i>Klebsiella spp</i> (45/12)	0	5 (41.7)	0	2 (16.7)	0	2 (16.7)	0	9 (75)	9 (15.8)
<i>Citrobacter spp</i> (5/1)	0	0	0	1 (100)	0	0	0	1 (100)	1 (16.7)
<i>Enterobacter spp</i> (10/3)	0	1 (33.3)	0	0	0	1 (33.3)	0	2 (66.7)	2 (15.4)
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> (5/19)	-	-	-	-	0	5 (26.3)	0	5 (26.3)	5 (20.8)
<i>Acinetobacter spp</i> (18/27)	-	-	-	-	0	9 (33.3)	0	9 (33.3)	9 (20)
<i>Myroides spp</i> (1/0)	-	-	-	-	1 (100)	0	1 (100)	0	1 (100)
Total (258/134)	0#*	29 (33.7)@*	0#*	10 (11.6)@*	1 (0.4)*	20 (15)*	1 (0.4)*	59 (44)*	60 (15.3)

CA – Community acquired, Noso. – Nosocomial UTI
 * - p < 0.05
 n1 - Total number of isolates in CA UTI
 n2 - Total number of isolates in nosocomial UTI
 # - n1 = 234
 @ - n2 = 86

References:

1. Black JA, Moland ES, Thomson KS. AmpC disk test for detection of plasmid-mediated AmpC β -lactamases in enterobacteriaceae lacking chromosomal AmpC β -lactamases. J Clin Microbiol 2005; 43: 3110-3.
2. Pitout JDD, Reisbig MD, Venter EC, Church DL, Hanson ND. Modification of the double-disk test for detection of enterobacteriaceae producing extended-spectrum and AmpC β -lactamases. J Clin Microbiol 2003; 41: 3933-5.

3. Tato M, Morosini M, Garcia L, Alberti S, Coque MT, Canton R. Carbapenem heteroresistance in VIM-1-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates belonging to the same clone: consequences for routine susceptibility testing. J Clin Microbiol 2010; 48: 4089-93.
4. Manual of workshop on detection of beta-lactamases: phenotypic and genotypic methods. Organized by Department of Microbiology, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi. In XXXV National Conference of Indian Association of Medical Microbiologists, MICROCON 2011, Varanasi.

The above topic is presented as paper for Late Dr. P. M. Khare Junior Best Paper Prize 2012 entitled 'Study of community and nosocomial uropathogens and their drug resistance' in XVIII Maharashtra Chapter Conference of Indian Association of Medical Microbiologists at TN Medical College, Mumbai on 7th Sept. 2012

Date of submission: 12 September 2013

Date of Provisional acceptance: 28 September 2013

Date of Final acceptance: 27 October 2013

Date of Publication: 04 December 2013

Source of support: Nil; Conflict of Interest: Nil